# SOLVENCY 2 IMPACT ON EUROPEAN BOND MARKETS

Bond Market Contact Group – European Central Bank

21/06/2016



# Solvency 2 impacts on bond markets: main take-away

- ⇒ ECB & Basel III have been and are heavily impacting the European bond market, and as such are dwarfing Solvency 2 potential impact
- Solvency 2 and low yield environment are key drivers for insurance's investment decisions
- ... as well as does accelerate European insurers search for :
  - → credit diversification into less liquid strategies: private corporate debt, social housing, Commercial Real Estate loans ...
  - → alternative long duration assets: infrastructure, residential mortgages, Export Credit Agencies, supranational/multilaterals
- And Solvency 2 fosters new behaviors:
  - → more barbells
  - → regulatory capital efficient risk premia strategies
  - → hedges: options and CDS index/basket tranches

## **Solvency 2 impacts on bond markets:** smooth but structural transition...

- Large insurance companies prepared in advance to the new regulation:
  - → having started to adapt their asset allocation into Solvency 2 for years
  - → being ready to have investment teams and business set-ups in place for new initiatives in private debt opportunities
- Leading to a smooth transitory bond portfolio allocation process from Solvency 1 to Solvency 2 with no dramatic impacts on the European bond markets at first sight:
  - > not easy to observe and qualify given other massive game changing/distorting forces: Basel 3 regulation and ECB Purchase Programs
  - → other market participants' investment decision or anticipation
- Dut reinforcing insurers behaviors, fostering new ones over the long term:
  - → more sensitive to rating stability than ever: no credit for duration
  - → deploying into new asset classes like infrastructure and mortgage loans, commercial real estate loans and corporate loans: number of new platforms and players in Europe
  - > pushing some barbells in portfolios with some allocation into higher return / risk / capital to drive upwards average investment yields: not yet signs of excessive compression, but some players expecting it to happen
  - → favoring Fixed Income instruments at the expense of equity markets



## **Solvency 2 impacts on bond markets**: driven by both duration and yield needs

- Driven by duration needs:
  - → insurers' trades on swap market and option market to manage duration but constrained by other regulation like EMIR
  - → Hence still present the search for long dated well rated bonds, historically eurozone government bonds, now hurt by low yield level and scarcity of the available pool:
    - Last 9 months of 2015, insurance companies the only residual net buyers of euro-zone government bonds with ECB: Core countries' government curve currently quite flat
    - Periphery government curve steeper though
    - Other considerations in force like ECB intervention and market participants' inflation expectations
  - > crowding out effects into other alternatives: sub-sovereign and agencies and outside euro-zone issuers and infrastructure private placements
- Driven by stable investment yield needs:
  - > preservation of average rating of portfolio driving some potential unintended procyclical investment decisions during period of rating pressure
  - > search of yielding fixed income instruments driving moves into loan format type of investments, illiquid and private debt investments initiatives, and outside eurozone located issuers

## Solvency 2 impacts on bond markets: leading to unbalanced behavior?

- European insurers bond portfolio standardization:
  - → volatility adjuster ("VA") pushing towards standardization of the European insurers bonds portfolio allocation:
    - the capital charge on spread risk is directly linked to the difference between the actual bond portfolio and the notional bond portfolio on which VA is calibrated; capital charge minimal when both portfolios identical
    - hence, some incentives for all insurers to try to replicate nominal portfolio into actual portfolio
    - no more distinction of the liquidity component at sub-asset class level
- Worsening of the credit investment conditions fundamentals and valuation with the acceleration of :
  - → the mispricing of credit risk premium across the European credit asset classes
  - → the deterioration of the credit fundamentals due to heavy demand: corporate leverage, credit documentation weakening...
  - → the squeeze of some asset classes: securitized products on top of ABSPP
  - → the increase of "opportunistic" leverage due to a growing supply & demand imbalance: the quest for higher yielding debt investment
  - → the increase of volatility due to Solvency 2 driven tail credit risk hedging behaviors using standardized CDS indices/senior tranches, while at same time Basel 3 retrenching for derivatives' market



# Solvency 2 impacts on managing bonds portfolio for insurers



#### Solely accounting driven:

- Long term management
- Mixing duration & credit focussing on local govies and High Grade credit bonds
- Low turn over, focussing on fundamentals with limited credit risk downside potential
- No direct link with Cost of capital as Solvency I is not risk based

## Mixing economic and accounting considerations

- Long term management
- Mixing duration & credit
- Low turn over, focussing on fundamentals with limited credit risk downside potential
- No direct link with Cost of capital as Solvency I is not risk based

### Monitoring accounting, ratings & mark to market valuations

- □Long term management
- □Split duration & credit risk: long term duration hedging
- □Low turn over, focussing on fundamentals with limited credit risk downside potential
- □Take on board cost of capital under Solvency II (standard or internal models

Before 2007

2008 - 2015

**FROM JANUARY 2016** 



# Solvency 2 impact on bond markets: issues for discussion

- 1. Solvency 2 is a value at risk model based on current capital market valuations (which are volatile). Insurance liabilities are very long term. Does this make sense?
- 2. Solvency 2 investment volatilities are based on a one year horizon. Is this too short?
- 3. Solvency 2 models and risk drivers are very complex. Are shareholders able to understand risk positions?
- 4. Solvency 2 as every risk measure implies cyclical measures on risk reduction or risk increase. Does this serve shareholders and policyholders adequately as the insurance business is very long term?
- 5. Is there a standardization of credit portfolios with barbellization given volatility adjuster impact?
- 6. Who can buy long dated credit assets and junior bank credit given the capital charge?
- 7. Where do insurers find duration in the current context in order to manage interest rate risk and associated capital charge?
- 8. Is the usage of derivatives to manage the tail risks and lower the capital charge compatible with the rules impacting the banks?